

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.      | F.   | ILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |  |
|----------------------|------|--------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/019,694           | -    | 05/03/2002   | Gilbert Guidot       | 022701-974              | 1792             |  |  |
| 21839                | 7590 | 06/30/2004   |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |  |
|                      |      | WECKER & MAT | HIS L L P            | L P PUTTLITZ, KARL J    |                  |  |  |
| POST OFFI<br>ALEXAND |      | 22313-1404   |                      | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER   |                  |  |  |
|                      | •    |              |                      | 1621                    | <del></del>      |  |  |
|                      |      |              | •                    | DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004 | X                |  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |               |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|---------------|--|--|--|
|  | 10/019,694   | GUIDOT ET AL.  | GUIDOT ET AL. |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |               |  |  |  |
|  | Karl J. Puttlitz   | 1621   |               |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a<br>Period for Reply   | ppears on the cover sheet w  | ith the correspondence add   | iress         |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | I.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thi dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this col  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | mmunication.  |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |  |               |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/1   | 3/2004.  |  |               |  |  |  |
| ·= · ·   | nis action is non-final.   |  |               |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under   | ance except for formal mat   |  | merits is     |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |  |               |  |  |  |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdreds 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and   | rawn from consideration.   |  |               |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |  |               |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir   | ner.   |  |               |  |  |  |
| 0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  |  |  |               |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the  |  |  |               |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the l   |  |  |               |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |  |               |  |  |  |
| a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list   | nts have been received.<br>nts have been received in A<br>iority documents have beer<br>eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | Application No  received in this National S  | Stage         |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |  |  |               |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  |  | Summary (PTO-413)  |               |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>  |  | (s)/Mail Date<br>Informal Patent Application (PTO<br>  | -152)         |  |  |  |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

The requirement that applicant arrange the specification has been withdrawn since the case is a national stage application from a PCT.

The rejection under section 112, second paragraph is withdrawn in view of applicant's amendments and remarks clarifying those terms indicated in the previous Office Action. With regard to the term "finely dispersed state", the examiner has given this term its broadest reasonable interpretation, that is, where the fine dispersion permits the production of isocyante in good yield, as is described in the specification at page 3.

The prior art rejections are maintained below:

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8, 10-17 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by GB 955,898 (GB 898).

The invention is drawn to a conversion of aromatic carbamoyl fluorides into the corresponding isocyanates by dehydrofluorination. The process is effected by

Application/Control Number: 10/019,694 Page 3

Art Unit: 1621

dissolving or suspending it in carbamoyl fluorides, in a solvent, and heating to at least 80 °C. The solvent should be miscible with hydrogen fluoride and is preferably a halogenated aromatic solvent that does not react with the carbamoyl fluoride.

GB 898 teaches that carbamic acid fluoride, an intermediate, is converted to p-trifluormethylphenyl isocyanate in the presence of xylene and hydrofluoric acid.

Based on the foregoing, GB 898 teaches each and every aspect of the rejected claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over GB 898.

Claim 9 is drawn to the process wherein, in the final 90% of the reaction, the molar ratio of hydrofluoric acid to aromatic isocyanate is less than 0.5.

In example 1 of GB 989, excess hydrofluoric acid is distilled off before decomposition of carbamic acid fluoride.

Accordingly, one of ordinary skill would expect that the molar ratio of hydrofluoric acid to aromatic isocyanate is less than 0.5 as a result of this process. Moreover, one of ordinary skill would be motivated to remove hydrofluoric acid since GB 989 teaches

the meaning of "finely dispersed".

Art Unit: 1621

that this will removes reaction products from unreacted products and small quantities of

resinification material. See page 3, lines 87-103.

Applicant argues that the GB patent does not teach carbamoyl fluoride that is dissolved or in a finely dispersed state. However, in the absence of objective evidence to the contrary, one of ordinary skill would expect that at least a portion of the carbamoyl fluoride is dissolved as per Example 1 of the GB patent. Since carbamoyl fluoride is dissolved, the GB patent teaches the elements of the claimed invention, notwithstanding

With regard to the new claims, chlorobenzene is used as a solvent, see lines 42-46, and hydrofluoric acid is added (Example 1).

The following is are new grounds of rejection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not

Page 4

Art Unit: 1621

described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to practice the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Even though the statute does not use the term "undue experimentation," it has been interpreted to require that the claimed invention be enabled so that any person skilled in the art can make and use the invention without undue experimentation. *In re Wands*, 858 F.2d at 737, 8 USPQ2d at 1404 (Fed. Cir. 1988). See also *United States v. Telectronics, Inc.*, 857 F.2d 778, 785, 8 USPQ2d 1217, 1223 (Fed. Cir. 1988) ("The test of enablement is whether one reasonably skilled in the art could make or use the invention from the disclosures in the patent coupled with information known in the art without undue experimentation. See M.P.E.P. § 2164.

In the instant case the rejected claims recite a 70% yield of isocyanate See claim 1. Based on the above standards, the disclosure must contained sufficient information to enable one skilled in the pertinent art to use this invention without undue experimentation. See M.P.E.P. 2164.01. Given the scope of the claims, it does not.

Specifically, the disclosure does teach those of ordinary skill how to select appropriate reaction conditions so one of ordinary skill can increase the yield of isocyante beyond those steps known in the prior art.

The examiner understands that there is no requirement that the specification disclose every possible embodiment if there is sufficient guidance given by knowledge

Art Unit: 1621

in the art (See M.P.E.P. § 2164.05(a) "[t]he specification need not disclose what is well-known to those skilled in the art and preferably omits that which is well-known to those skilled and already available to the public. *In re Buchner*, 929 F.2d 660, 661, 18 USPQ2d 1331, 1332 (Fed. Cir. 1991); *Hybritech, Inc. v. Monoclonal Antibodies, Inc.*, 802 F.2d 1367, 1384, 231 USPQ 81, 94 (Fed. Cir. 1986), *cert. denied*, 480 U.S. 947 (1987); and *Lindemann Maschinenfabrik GMBH v. American Hoist & Derrick Co.*, 730 F.2d 1452, 1463, 221 USPQ 481, 489 (Fed. Cir. 1984).").

However, the instant case goes beyond what is known in the art, because the specification does not offer any guidance on how one of ordinary skill would go about practicing the invention for recovery of an increased yield of the desired isocyanate product.

Applicant is reminded of the heightened enablement for chemical inventions. Specifically, the amount of guidance or direction needed to enable the invention is inversely related to the amount of knowledge in the state of the art as well as the predictability in the art. *In re Fisher*, 427 F.2d 833, 839, 166 USPQ 18, 24 (CCPA 1970). The "amount of guidance or direction" refers to that information in the application, as originally filed, that teaches exactly how to make or use the invention. The more that is known in the prior art about the nature of the invention, how to make, and how to use the invention, and the more predictable the art is, the less information needs to be explicitly stated in the specification. In contrast, if little is known in the prior art about the nature of the invention and the art is unpredictable, the specification would need more detail as to how to make and use the invention in order to be enabling. [I]n the field of

Art Unit: 1621

chemistry generally, there may be times when the well-known unpredictability of chemical reactions will alone be enough to create a reasonable doubt as to the accuracy of a particular broad statement put forward as enabling support for a claim. This will especially be the case where the statement is, on its face, contrary to generally accepted scientific principles. Most often, additional factors, such as the teachings in pertinent references, will be available to substantiate any doubts that the asserted scope of objective enablement is in fact commensurate with the scope of protection sought and to support any demands based thereon for proof. [Footnote omitted.]

Here, the requirement for enablement is not met since the claims go far beyond the enabling disclosure. Therefore, base on the forgoing, claim 18 is *prima facie*, non-enabled.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 18 fails to specify that the yield of the isocyanate is at least 70 %.

Claim 17 lacks essential steps since the steps of the process are generally know and the claim fails to specify those additional steps that would allow those of ordinary skill to increase the yield of the reaction.

Art Unit: 1621

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 8

Art Unit: 1621

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl J. Puttlitz whose telephone number is (571) 272-0645. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (alternate).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on (571) 272-0646.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Karl J. Puttlitz
Assistant Examiner

Johann R. Richter, Ph.D., Esq. Supervisory Patent Examiner

Biotechnology and Organic Chemistry

Art Unit 1621 (571) 272-0646